

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ADVANCE SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH AND ENGINEERING TRENDS

IMPACT OF UNEQUAL DC-LINK CURRENTS ON THE PERFORMANCE OF 5-LEVEL CURRENT-FED INVERTERS CONTROLLED BY SVPWM

G. Prem Kumar Reddy¹, Dr. B. Mangu²

Research Scholar & Department of Electrical Engineering, Osmania University, Hyderabad, Telangana, India¹ Professor & Department of Electrical Engineering, Osmania University, Hyderabad, Telangana, India²

_____***_____

Abstract – The performance of multilevel CFIs is significantly challenge by unequal DC-link currents. Variations in DC-link current magnitudes can cause distorted output waveforms, increased harmonic content and reduced system efficiency. Traditional control strategies, which assume balanced DC-link currents, may no longer be effective under these conditions. This paper focuses on developing strategies for selecting switching state vectors for multilevel CFIs operating under unequal DC-link currents. The goal is to minimize the impact of current imbalance on the output waveform quality and overall system performance. The proposed strategies aim to ensure effective time sharing among switching states, optimize the distribution of current stress, and the goal is to minimize the of lower order-frequency harmonics in the output

_____***______***______

Keywords – Current Fed, Multilevel Inverter, SVPWM, Switching State Vectors, Unbalanced

I INTRODUCTION

Multilevel Current-Fed Inverters (CFIs) are becoming increasingly popular in contemporary power electronics because they produce high-quality output waveforms, enhance efficiency, and minimize harmonic distortion [1-2] These advantages make them ideal for applications like RES [7], electric vehicles, and industrial drives. In a typical multilevel CFI, multiple DC sources [9] are employed to generate the desired output voltage levels. However, in practical implementations, these DC sources can experience imbalances, leading to unequal DC-link currents

1.1 Operation with Asymmetric DC-Link Currents Differences in DC-link currents remove redundancy in switching state vectors, making all 49 vectors independent and changing the space vector diagram. This diagram can adopt two general shapes depending on the degree of current inequality. As a result, the output current (ia) becomes distorted, straying from the anticipated five-level waveform. Low-frequency components emerge in the harmonic spectrum, and their magnitude increases with greater current inequality. Careful selection and time-sharing of switching state vectors can help mitigate these lowfrequency components. Two approaches are suggested for choosing suitable switching state vectors to address these issues: Method 1 involves selecting the nearest switching state vectors [8], while Method 2 focuses on vectors that eliminate low frequency choosing pulsations.



Figure 1: Fivel level Current Fed Inverter

The five-level space vector diagram can have two typical shapes based on the degree of inequality in DC-link currents of CFI units,[3-6] as illustrated in Figures 1 and 2.





INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ADVANCE SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH AND ENGINEERING TRENDS



Figure 3: (iDC1/ iDC2 < 0.5) or (iDC1/ iDC2 > 2).

II METHOD 1: IDENTIFYING CLOSEST SWITCHING STATE VECTORS

The CFI unit carrying higher DC-link current is designated as CFI-1, while the other unit is designated as CFI-2. Each major sector (SI–SVI) contains 13 switching state vector positions and is further subdivided into 25 minor sectors.

.III METHOD 2: SELECTION OF SWITCHING STATE VECTORS TO ELIMINATE LOW-FREQUENCY PULSATION

The method eliminates low-frequency pulsations in vDC1 and vDC2 by avoiding specific switching state vectors in certain sectors. Despite this, the output current quality remains consistent, using 9 specific state vectors per major sector, unlike Method 1, and ensuring proper time-sharing between selected switching states.

Vectors I₆₋₁, I₆₋₂, I₇₋₁, I₇₋₂, I₈, I₁₈, I₀₋₀, I₁₋₁, I₁₋₂ are used in major sector S₁ and active periods for these vectors are, T₆₋₁, T₆₋₂, T₇₋₁, T₇₋₂, T₈, T₁₈, T₀₋₀, T₁₋₁, T₁₋₂ respectively. If T₆₋₁ = T₆₋₂, T₁₋₁ = T₁₋₂, and T₇₋₁ = T₇₋₂ The average DC-link voltage over each switching period can be calculated using the following formula,

$$v_{DC1} = v_{DC2} = 1.5 m v_{ph} \cos \varphi$$

where, v_{ph} = phase voltage, m = modulation index &

 $\cos \phi = \text{power factor.}$

The average DC-link voltage remains constant over each switching period, eliminating low frequency pulsations in v_{DC1} and v_{DC2} . A small L-C filter is used to eliminate the switching frequency component and achieve a ripple-free, constant voltage at the DC-DC converter output.

The reference space vector position is determined within minor sectors S1 to S4, bounded by fictitious state vector positions I1, I6, and I7 in major sector SI, as shown in Fig. 4

Table 1:	Specific switching	state vectors are	selected for	both major and	minor sectors	under Method 1
Lanc L.	Specific Switching	sial v c c b s a c	Sciected 101	Dun majur and	Immor sectors	unuci micinou i

Major sector	Minor sector	Sv	vitching s vector	tate		Number of switch transitions										
		x	\boldsymbol{y}	z	$\frac{T_z}{4}$	÷	T_x	-	$\frac{T_z}{2}$	-	T_y	-	$\frac{T_z}{4}$	CFI-1	CFI-2	Total
	S_1	I_{p-2}	I_{q-2}	I_{0-0}	(i_m,i_m)	2	(i_m, i_p)	-	(i_m, i_m)		(i_m, i_q)	-	(i_m,i_m)	0	8	8
	S_2	I_{p-2}	I_{q-2}	I_{0-p}	(i_p, i_w)	-	(i_m, i_p)	-	(i_p, i_w)	12	(i_m, i_q)	-	(i_p, i_w)	8	16	24
	S_3	I_{p-2}	I_{q-2}	I_{0-q}	(i_q, i_n)	-	(i_m, i_p)	-	(i_q, i_n)	-	(i_m, i_q)	-	(i_q, i_n)	8	16	24
	S_4	I_{p-2}	I_{q-2}	I_{0-p}	(i_p, i_w)	-	(i_m, i_p)	-	(i_p, i_w)	12	(i_m, i_q)	-	(i_p, i_w)	8	16	24
	S_5	I_{p-2}	I_{q-2}	I_{0-q}	(i_q, i_n)	\sim	(i_m, i_p)	-	(i_q, i_n)	-	(i_m, i_q)	-	(i_q, i_n)	8	16	24
	${old S}_6$	I_{0-p}	I_{0-q}	I_{p-2}	(i_m, i_p)	-	(i_p, i_w)	-	(i_m, i_p)	-	(i_q, i_n)	-	(i_m, i_p)	8	16	24
	S_7	I_{0-p}	I_{0-q}	I_{q-2}	(i_m, i_q)	-	(i_p, i_w)	-	(i_m, i_q)	-	(i_q, i_n)	-	(i_m, i_q)	8	16	24
	S_8	I_{0-p}	I_{0-q}	I_{q-4}	(i_p, i_v)	-	(i_p, i_w)	-	(i_p, i_v)	-	(i_q, i_n)	-	(i_p, i_v)	4	12	16
	${old S}_9$	I_{0-p}	I_{0-q}	I_{p-3}	(i_q, i_u)	-	(i_p, i_w)	-	(i_q, i_u)	-	(i_q, i_n)	-	(i_q, i_u)	4	12	16
	S_{10}	I_{p-1}	I_{q-4}	I_{0-p}	(i_p, i_w)	Ξ	(i_p, i_m)	-	(i_p, i_w)	-	(i_p, i_v)	-	(i_p, i_w)	0	8	8
	S_{11}	I_{0-p}	I_{0-q}	I_{q-4}	(i_p, i_v)	-	(i_p, i_w)	-	(i_p, i_v)	-	(i_q, i_n)	-	(i_p, i_v)	4	12	16
	S_{12}	I_{q-4}	I_{p-3}	I_{0-p}	(i_p, i_w)	-	(i_p, i_v)	-	(i_p, i_w)	-	(i_q, i_u)	-	(i_p, i_w)	4	12	16
S_M	S_{13}	I_{q-4}	I_{p-3}	I_{0-q}	(i_q, i_n)	-	(i_p, i_v)	-	(i_q, i_n)		(i_q, i_u)	-	(i_q, i_n)	4	12	16
	S_{14}	I_{0-p}	I_{0-q}	I_{p-3}	(i_q, i_u)		(i_p, i_w)		(i_q, i_u)	-	(i_q, i_n)	-	(i_q, i_u)	4	12	16
	S_{15}	I_{p-3}	I_{q-1}	I_{0-q}	(i_q, i_n)	-	(i_q, i_u)	-	(i_q, i_n)		(i_q, i_m)	-	(i_q, i_n)	0	8	8
	S_{16}	I_r	I_{s-2}	I_{p-1}	(i_p, i_m)		(i_p, i_p)	-	(i_p, i_m)	-	(i_p, i_q)	-	(i_p, i_m)	0	8	8
	S_{17}	I_{p-1}	I_{q-4}	I_{s-2}	(i_p, i_q)		(i_p, i_m)	-	(i_p, i_q)	-	(i_p, i_v)	-	(i_p, i_q)	0	8	8
	S_{18}	I_{s-2}	I_{s-1}	I_{q-4}	(i_p, i_v)	-	(i_p, i_q)	-	(i_p, i_v)	-	(i_q, i_p)	-	(i_p, i_v)	4	12	16
	S_{19}	I_{s-2}	I_{s-1}	I_{q-4}	(i_p, i_v)	~	(i_p, i_q)	-	(i_p, i_v)	-	(i_q, i_p)	-	(i_p, i_v)	4	12	16
	S_{20}	I_{q-4}	I_{p-3}	I_{s-2}	(i_p, i_q)	-	(i_p, i_v)	-	(i_p, i_q)	-	(i_q, i_u)	-	(i_p, i_q)	4	12	16
	S_{21}	I_{q-4}	I_{p-3}	I_{s-1}	(i_q, i_p)	~	(i_p, i_v)		(i_q, i_p)	-	(i_q, i_u)	-	(i_q, i_p)	4	12	16
	S_{22}	I_{s-2}	I_{s-1}	I_{p-3}	(i_q, i_u)	-	(i_p, i_q)	-	(i_q, i_u)	-	(i_q, i_p)	-	(i_q, i_u)	4	12	16
	S_{23}	I_{s-2}	I_{s-1}	I_{p-3}	(i_q, i_u)	-	(i_p, i_q)	-	(i_q, i_u)	-	(i_q, i_p)		(i_q, i_u)	4	12	16
	S_{24}	I_{p-3}	I_{q-1}	I_{s-1}	(i_q, i_p)	-	(i_q, i_u)	-	(i_q, i_p)	-	(i_q, i_m)	-	(i_q, i_p)	0	8	8
	S_{25}	I_{s-1}	I_t	I_{q-1}	(i_q, i_m)	-	(i_q, i_p)		(i_q, i_m)		(i_q, i_q)	-	(i_q, i_m)	0	8	8



|| Volume 8 ||Issue 9 || September 2024||ISSN (Online) 2456-0774

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ADVANCE SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH AND ENGINEERING TRENDS



Figure 4: Switching State Vector Alignment

The reference space vector in minor sector S4 is realized with switching state vectors I8, I7–1, I7–2, I1–1, and I1–2, with active periods T8, T7–1 = T7–2 = T7/2, and T1–1 = T1–2 = T1/2, derived from vectors I8, I7, and I1. Similarly, in minor sector S3, vectors I1–1, I1–2, I6–1, I6–2, I7–1, and I7–2 are used, with active periods based on I1, I6, and I7. Table 2 lists all switching vectors per minor sector.

A switching sequence pattern for symmetric waveforms, minimal switch transitions, and balanced switch utilization is developed, as shown in Table 2.

The reference space vector is created by utilizing the nearest switching state vectors for a specific minor sector, such as I6-1, I1-4, and I7-2 in minor sector S17 and I7-2, I7-1, and I1-4 in minor sector S18.

Table 2: Sector-Based Switching State	vectors in Method 2

Major sector	Minor sector	Swi vec	itching tor po	g state sition	Sw	itching s vectors	state	Switching states sequence											Number of switch transitions				
		x	y	z	x	y	z	$\frac{T_z}{4}$	-	$\frac{T_x}{2}$		$\frac{Ty}{2}$	-	$\frac{T_z}{2}$	-	$\frac{T_x}{2}$	•	$\frac{Ty}{2}$	•	$\frac{T_z}{4}$	CFI-1	CFI-2	Total
	<i>S</i> ₁	Ip	I_q	I ₀	I_{p-1} and I_{p-2}	I_{q-1} and I_{q-2}	I ₀₋₀	(i_m, i_m)	-	(i_p, i_m)		(i_q, i_m)	-	(i_m, i_m)		(i_m, i_p)	-	(i_m, i_q)	-	(i_m, i_m)	6	6	12
	S_2	I _r	Is	I_p	I _r	I_{s-1} and I_{s-2}	I_{p-1} and I_{p-2}	(i_p, i_m)	100	(i_p,i_p)		(i_q, i_p)	-	(i_m, i_p)		(i_p,i_p)	ē	(i_p, i_q)		(i_p, i_m)	6	6	12
S _M	S_3	Ip	I_q	I_s	I_{p-1} and I_{p-2}	I_{q-1} and I_{q-2}	I_{s-1} and I_{s-2}	(i_p,i_q)	ï	(i_p, i_m)		(i_q, i_m)	-	(i_q,i_p)		(i_m, i_p)	-	(i_m, i_q)	•	(i_p,i_q)	6	6	12
	S_4	Is	I_t	I_q	I_{s-1} and I_{s-2}	I_t	I_{q-1} and I_{q-2}	(i_q, i_m)	-	(i_q, i_p)	-	(i_q,i_q)	-	(i_m, i_q)	-	(i_p, i_q)	-	(i_q, i_q)	-	(i_q, i_m)	6	6	12

IV SIMULATION RESULTS

Method 1 shows that the current ia, which includes intermediate current levels, significantly reduces lowfrequency harmonic components, as shown in Fig. 5(a), and the Figures 5(b) and 5(c) phase-a current for CFI-1 and CFI-2.



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ADVANCE SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH AND ENGINEERING TRENDS



Figure 5: Simulation Results for Method-1 with I_{dc1}=100 A and I_{dc2}=60 A: (a) Output Current I_a and Grid Current I_{ag} (b) Harmonic Spectrum of Output Current I_a (c) Harmonic Spectrum of Grid Current I_{ag} (d) Phase-A Current I_{a1} for CFI-1 (e) Phase-A Current I_{a2} for CFI-2 (f) DC-Link Voltage V_{dc1} for CFI-1 (g) DC-Link Voltage V_{dc2} for CFI-2

Method 2 selects specific switching state vectors to eliminate low-frequency pulsations in DC-link voltages of CFIs, stabilizing DC-link voltage for complex, high-performance inverter systems, as shown in simulation results. in fig. 6



Figure 6: Simulation results for Method-2: i_{DC1} = 100 A and i_{DC2} = 60 A (a) Output Current ia and Grid Current iag (b) Harmonic Spectrum of Output Current ia (c) Harmonic Spectrum of Grid Current iag (d) Phase-a Current i_{a1} for CFI-1 (e) Phase-a Current i_{a2} for CFI-2 (f) DC-link Voltage V_{DC1} for CFI-1 (g) DC-link Voltage V_{DC2} for CFI-2

|| Volume 8 ||Issue 9 || September 2024||ISSN (Online) 2456-0774



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ADVANCE SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH AND ENGINEERING TRENDS

V CONCLUSION

Two methods using SVM technique are used to select switching state vectors in multilevel current-fed inverters (CFIs) to eliminate low-frequency harmonic components in output currents, particularly under unequal dc-link current conditions.

Method 1 creates a reference current space vector using nearest switching state vectors in minor sectors, allowing higher current inverters to operate at lower frequencies, improving efficiency. However, this method has low-frequency pulsations in DC-link voltage and requires careful design of DC-DC converters to accommodate output voltage variations due to inequality in DC-link currents.

Method 2 selects switching state vectors to eliminate low-frequency pulsations in CFI DC-link voltages, reducing computational burden and extending principles to systems with multiple parallel CFI units, and reduces the computational burden of identifying the operating sector and calculating duty cycles.

VI REFERENCES

- [1] T. Noguchi, "Multilevel current waveform generation using inductor cells and H-bridge current-source inverter," IEEE Trans. Power Electron., vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 1090–1098, Mar. 2012.
- [2] M. P. Aguirre, L. Calvino, and M. I. Valla, "Multilevel current-source inverter with FPGA control," IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron., vol. 60, no. 1, pp. 3–10, Jan. 2013
- [3] R B.S. Dupczak, A.J. Perin, and M.L. Heldwein, "Space vector modulation strategy applied to interphase transformers-based five-level current source inverters," IEEE Trans. Power Electron., vol. 27, no. 6, pp. 2740–2751, Jun. 2012...
- [4] S. Jian and W. L. Yun, "A space-vector modulation method for common mode voltage reduction in current-source converters," IEEE Trans. Power Electron., vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 374–385, Jan. 2014.
- [5] Z.BaiandZ.Zhang,"Conformation of multilevel current source converter topologies using the duality principle," IEEE Trans. Power Electron., vol. 23, no. 5, pp. 2260–2267, Sep. 2008
- [6] B. Mirafzal, M. Saghaleini, and A. Kaviani, "An SVPWM-based switching pattern for stand-alone and gridconnected three-phase single-stage boost inverters," IEEE Trans. Power Electron., vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 1102 1111, Apr. 2011.
- [7] Y. Suh, J. Steinke, and P. Steimer, "Efficiency comparison of voltage source and current-source drive systems for medium-voltage applica tions," IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron., vol. 54, no. 5, pp. 2521–2531, Oct. 2007.
- [8] V. Vekhande and B. G. Fernandes, "Module integrated DC-DC converter for integration of photovoltaic source with DC micro-grid," in Proc. IEEE Ind. Electron. Soc. Conf., IECON'2012, pp. 5657-5662, Oct. 2012.
- [9] c. Hanju, C. Jungwan and P. N. Enjeti, "A three-phase current-fed DCIDC converter with active clamp for low-DC renewable energy sources," IEEE Trans. Power Electron., vol. 23, no. 6, pp. 2784-2793, Nov. 2008

BIOGRAPHIES



G. Prem Kumar Reddy, Presently Pursuing PhD in University College of Engineering, Osmania University, Hyderabad. His Completed M.Tech in Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University, Anantapur. His B.Tech Completed affiliated college of Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University, Hyderabad .His research interest on Multilevel Converter



Dr.B. Mangu was born in Warangal, India, in 1975. He received the B.E. and M.E. degrees from the University College of Engineering, Osmania University, Hyderabad, India, in 2000 and 2005, respectively. He received the Ph.D. degree with the Department of Electrical Engineering, IIT Bombay, Mumbai, India.